2

1 (Case called)

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Government, are you ready?

MS. BRACEWELL: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And you are?

MS. BRACEWELL: Molly Bracewell joined by Sheb Swett for the government.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Defendant, are you ready?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Yes. Good afternoon. Sarah

Baumbargel of the Federal Defenders on behalf of Mr. McFadden.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Ms. Baumbargel, have you received a copy of the indictment?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Have you reviewed it with your client?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: Does he waive the public reading?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Yes.

THE DEPUTY CLERK: And how does he plead?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Not guilty.

THE COURT: So, Ms. Bracewell, what have we here?

MS. BRACEWELL: We have a two-count indictment against this defendant from July 20, 2017. We've just given over some discovery to defense counsel, and we have more documents that we are receiving from the state.

2.3

The state had previously executed search warrants. So we're in the process of getting the underlying affidavits and will be producing them after we receive them and review them.

We also --

THE COURT: What does the discovery consist of?

MS. BRACEWELL: The discovery consists of the results of a search warrant on an email, iPad, laptop. In addition, there was a search warrant against the iPhone, but as yet we have not been able to access its contents. So they are not part of discovery at this point.

THE COURT: What are the prospects for accessing it?

MS. BRACEWELL: I'm not sure at this point if it's encrypted or what kind of measures will be taken.

THE COURT: So you haven't had accessed it, but have you determined that it's unlikely that you can? Or you just don't know where you stand yet?

MS. BRACEWELL: If you'll give me one second.

(Pause)

MS. BRACEWELL: I think it's unlikely at this point that we will be able to access it. In addition, I think we have sufficient discovery based on what we have recovered from the email, iPad, and laptop to proceed.

We have discussed with defense counsel just briefly that some of the parts of discovery include victim information and images of child pornography. So they will be made

available at the FBI's headquarters.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

THE COURT: So they're going to have to go to Washington to look at it?

MS. BRACEWELL: The FBI offices here in Manhattan, luckily.

So we are trying to determine whether any can be produced with redactions or with images stricken, but we'll remain in contact with defense counsel.

THE COURT: So when can you finish discovery?

MS. BRACEWELL: Two weeks I think we anticipate.

THE COURT: Discovery is to be concluded by September 27. If the case goes to trial, how long a trial are we looking at?

(Pause)

MS. BRACEWELL: One week, two weeks at the maximum.

THE COURT: Ms. Baumbargel, what do I need to know from the defense?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Your Honor, I would just add that I think there may be some more outstanding pieces of discovery, and I suspect we won't actually get them within two weeks.

I'll send a discovery letter to the government, but I just want to flag this was originally a state arrest. I believe there are post-arrest statements. I believe there was a search of a hotel room in addition to the other searches noted. I don't know if there were warrants for all of these,

but there may have been.

2.3

I also understand that there may be medical records and related DNA tests. The government alleges that there was an individual who was a victim in one of the counts, and in the state I believe they took a DNA sample from Mr. McFadden and said they had records that they were testing it against.

So we'll request all these things from the government, but we suspect that discovery may take a little longer than two weeks. I think there may be pretrial motions as well, just based on what I know so far about the nature of the discovery.

THE COURT: Give me a preview.

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Your Honor, I understand from his state attorney that she thinks some of the searches may have been illegal. I don't have more information about that now. So it may be related to either the search of the hotel room or some sort of search of one of the electronic devices. As I said, I don't know if there are warrants. So there may not be an issue there.

THE COURT: What's the status in the state court?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Your Honor, he's had a few
appearances. He's next scheduled to appear there tomorrow.

They have made limited discovery productions. There was some suggestion that that case may be dismissed because he was being indicted here.

My understanding of the most recent from his state

defense attorney is that they are considering them separate offenses and are not dismissing. I think that she may make a motion to dismiss based on New York law. Right now that case is open.

THE COURT: Can the government enlighten me any further on that? The state case.

MS. BRACEWELL: Unfortunately, no, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you in touch with the state prosecutors?

MS. BRACEWELL: We have been, but I don't have more of an update. We can send a letter in with more information.

THE COURT: I think that would be helpful.

My trial calendar, at least at the moment, is jammed for many months. I have a case scheduled for February 26 that might plead, and I can put you as backup to that.

How does that work?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.BRACEWELL:}}$ That works for the government, your Honor.

MS. BAUMBARGEL: That's fine for the defendant as well.

THE COURT: I'll set trial for February 26 with the understanding that it's a backup.

Any time gone on the speedy trial clock?

MS. BRACEWELL: We excluded time until today, and I would move to exclude time at this point so the defendant has

H9DYMCFA

the opportunity to -
THE COURT: Has any time run yet?

MS. BRACEWELL: Give me one moment.

4 (Pause)

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

MS. BRACEWELL: We believe that the clock ran from July 20 to August 1. If that's incorrect, we'll submit a letter correcting it.

THE COURT: And you move to exclude time until -- I haven't set a motion schedule yet. So let's deal with that.

How about motions by November 10. Is that okay?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Yes.

THE COURT: Government response November 27. Okay?

MS. BRACEWELL: That's fine, your Honor.

THE COURT: Reply papers December 4. Argument or conference --

MS. BAUMBARGEL: Your Honor, as of right now, I'm scheduled to have a trial that week. So it might make sense to do it the following week, if that's available.

THE COURT: Let's do it at the beginning of the week of the 18th. December 18 at 2:30?

MS. BAUMBARGEL: That's fine.

THE COURT: Is there any objection to my excluding time through December 18?

MS. BRACEWELL: No.

THE COURT: Time is excluded through December 18. I

find that the interests of justice served thereby, including the defendant's interest in getting complete discovery; evaluating the discovery; and making any necessary motions; and no doubt, exploring the possibility of a negotiated resolution; as well as seeing the development in the state case, outweigh the interests of the public and of the defendant in a speedy

Is there anything else we can accomplish usefully today?

MS. BRACEWELL: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Bracewell, it would be a favor to the Court, since I haven't seen you before and I'm starting to see a fair number of assistants, if you would put the word around to your colleagues that I ask at every initial conference how many days are gone on the speedy trial clock. And for the first time in years, nobody in the last ten days has known the answer. So perhaps we can just remind everybody.

MS. BRACEWELL: Yes, your Honor. Will do.

THE COURT: Thank you.

(Adjourned)

trial.